

OBSTRUCTIONS TO THE EXISTENCE OF FOLD MAPS

RUSTAM SADYKOV, OSAMU SAEKI, AND KAZUHIRO SAKUMA

Dedicated to Professor Yoshifumi Ando on his sixtieth birthday

ABSTRACT. We study smooth maps between smooth manifolds with only fold points as their singularities, and clarify the obstructions to the existence of such a map in a given homotopy class for certain dimensions. The obstructions are described in terms of characteristic classes, which arise as Postnikov invariants, and can be interpreted as primary and secondary obstructions to the elimination of certain singularities. We also discuss the relationship between the existence problem of fold maps and that of vector fields of stabilized tangent bundles.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1970 Mather posed the following question (see [27]): does any element of the homotopy group $\pi_n(S^p)$, $n \geq p$, contain a fold map $S^n \rightarrow S^p$? Here, a *fold map* is a smooth map with only fold singularities, which are, in a sense, the simplest among all generic singularities. Thus the fold maps form a reasonable class of maps which is very close to that of submersions. The problem was affirmatively solved by Eliashberg in [9, 10], who solved it by establishing the h -principle for fold maps (see [12, 17]) on the 1-jet level.

In [40] the second and the third authors considered a similar problem for maps between 4-manifolds and showed that for a closed orientable 4-manifold M , the homotopy class of a map $M \rightarrow S^4$ contains a smooth map with only fold and cusp singularities if and only if the first Pontrjagin class $p_1(M)$ vanishes. According to Eliashberg [9], the homotopy class of a map $M \rightarrow S^4$ contains a fold map if and only if both $p_1(M)$ and the second Stiefel–Whitney class $w_2(M)$ vanish. Note that $w_2(M)$ coincides with the Poincaré dual to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -homology class represented by the closure of the set of cusp points of a given generic map $M \rightarrow S^4$: in other words, w_2 is the so-called *Thom polynomial* for cusp singularities. In a similar fashion, p_1 is the Thom polynomial for the so-called $\Sigma^{2,0}$ singularities (see §3 of the present paper). Thus we can conclude that in the case of a generic map $M \rightarrow S^4$ of a closed *orientable* 4-manifold M , the Thom polynomials are the unique obstructions to the elimination of singularities except for the fold points (and cusp points). In contrast, we will see that an analogous result does not hold for closed *non-orientable* 4-manifolds (see Corollary 3.4 (ii)). In other words we have obstructions other than Thom polynomials.

Date: March 16, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57R45; Secondary 57R25, 55S45.

Key words and phrases. Fold map, obstruction, characteristic class, stable span, h -principle.

The first author has been supported by the FY2005 Postdoctoral Fellowship for Foreign Researchers, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science; and a Postdoctoral Fellowship of Max Planck Institute, Germany.

The second author has been supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 19340018), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

The third author has been supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 18540102), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

We are interested in the following general problem (see [3]): given a generic smooth map $g : M \rightarrow N$ between smooth manifolds, under what conditions does there exist a generic smooth map homotopic to g which has no singularities of a prescribed type Σ ? Obstructions to the elimination of singularities are, for example, characteristic classes (see [45]), homotopy invariants such as the Hopf invariant (see [38]), or smooth structures of manifolds (see [39, 41]). In many cases, the h -principle holds and the problem is equivalent to the existence problem of a corresponding jet section $M \rightarrow J^k(M, N)$ covering g and avoiding the singular jets of type Σ . Then the primary obstruction to the existence is the Thom polynomial, which is the Poincaré dual to the homology class represented by the closure of the singular point set $\Sigma(g)$ of g with type Σ . The Thom polynomial does not always tell us a complete answer to the problem, since the topological location of $\Sigma(g)$ in the source manifold M can be nontrivial even if the Thom polynomial of Σ vanishes. This means that there may be other (co)homological obstructions to eliminating the singularities of a prescribed type by homotopy.

It is the purpose of the present paper to study the so-called *higher order obstructions* which arise as well-defined obstructions in those cases where the primary obstructions, i.e., Thom polynomials, fail to determine the existence of maps with prescribed singularities.

As far as the authors know, there are only a few results about higher order obstructions to the elimination of singularities. For example, the first and the second authors clarified such a secondary obstruction to eliminating cusp singularities for maps of closed orientable 4-manifolds into 3-manifolds ([34, 37]), and Szűcs [44] discussed this problem from a viewpoint of cobordism of maps.

In the present paper we obtain a series of results on higher order obstructions. For example, we compute the complete set of obstructions to the existence of *tame fold maps* of non-orientable 4-manifolds into $\mathbb{R}^3$, i.e., fold maps whose restriction to the set of singular points is an immersion with trivial normal bundle. Namely we show (see Theorem 5.1) that for a closed connected non-orientable 4-manifold M , there exists a tame fold map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ if and only if $W_3(M) = 0$ in $H^3(M; \mathbb{Z}_{w_1(M)})$ and $w_4(M) = 0$ in $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{w_1(M)}$ denotes the orientation local system of M and W_3 denotes the 3rd Whitney class for twisted coefficients.

The paper is organized as follows. In §2, we recall the h -principle results due to Eliashberg and Ando for fold maps and discuss the relationship between the existence problem of fold maps and that of vector fields of stabilized tangent bundles. In §3, we give a theorem (Theorem 3.1) about the existence of nowhere linearly dependent sections for vector bundles over 4-dimensional CW complexes, and deduce some corollaries about the existence of fold maps. We prove Theorem 3.1 using results by Dold–Whitney [7], and interpret our result in terms of Postnikov decompositions and their invariants. We also study fold maps between equidimensional manifolds for low dimensions, using a similar argument. Furthermore, we interpret these results about the existence of fold maps from the viewpoint of elimination of singularities. In §4, we study fold maps of higher dimensional manifolds into $\mathbb{R}^4$, using known results about vector fields. In §5, we study tame fold maps of non-orientable 4-manifolds into 3-manifolds, using the Postnikov decomposition argument. It turned out that the existence problem of fold maps is related to characteristic classes of pin vector bundles. In Appendix, we compute the characteristic classes in degree 4 and establish their relationship to Pontrjagin and Stiefel–Whitney classes. These are used to interpret our results in terms of Postnikov decompositions. The content of the Appendix might be folklore. Nevertheless, we included it in our paper, since we could not find the assertions in the literature.

Throughout the paper, manifolds are smooth of class C^∞ . The symbol ε^ℓ denotes the trivial ℓ -plane bundle over an appropriate space (when $\ell = 1$, ε is also used in place of ε^1).

The authors would like to thank Boldizsár Kalmár for indicating his recent results on the existence of fold maps to them; and the referee for comments that lead to an improvement of the paper.

2. ANDO'S h -PRINCIPLE THEOREM FOR FOLD MAPS

Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map between manifolds with $n = \dim M \geq \dim N = p$. We denote by $S(f)$ the set of singular points of f , i.e. the set of all points $x \in M$ such that $\text{rank } df_x < p$. A singular point $x \in S(f)$ of f is of *fold type* if f can be written in a form

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1}, \pm x_p^2 \pm x_{p+1}^2 \pm \dots \pm x_n^2)$$

for some local coordinates around x and $f(x)$. We say that $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a *fold map* if all of its singular points are of fold type. Note that for $p = 1$ a singular point is of fold type if and only if it is a nondegenerate critical point, and hence a fold map into $\mathbb{R}$ is nothing but a Morse function.

Fold maps can be characterized in terms of jets as follows. By definition, the *1-jet bundle*

$$J^1(M, N) = \text{Hom}(TM, TN) \longrightarrow M$$

is a fiber bundle whose fiber over a point $x \in M$ consists of all pairs (y, h) of points $y \in N$ and linear maps $T_x M \rightarrow T_y N$ of tangent planes. We note that a smooth map $f : M \rightarrow N$ determines a jet $j_x^1 f$ at each point $x \in M$ with $y = f(x)$ and $h = df_x$. A point of the 1-jet space $J^1(M, N)$ is called a *1-jet*. Let Σ^r denote the submanifold of the 1-jet space $J^1(M, N)$ consisting of the 1-jets with corank r , where the *corank* of a jet $j_x^1 g$ means the rank of the kernel of the differential dg_x . Then a smooth map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a fold map if and only if its 1-jet extension $j^1 f : M \rightarrow J^1(M, N)$ is transverse to Σ^1 , $j^1 f(M) \cap \Sigma^r = \emptyset$, $r \geq 2$, and $f|_{(j^1 f)^{-1}(\Sigma^1)}$ is an immersion (see [16, Chap. III, §4] for more details). Note that $(j^1 f)^{-1}(\Sigma^1) = S(f)$ is a closed regular submanifold of M of dimension $p - 1$. Singularities of fold type are the simplest, i.e. they have the smallest codimension, among all generic corank one singularities.

As has been mentioned in §1, Eliashberg [9, 10] studied the existence problem of fold maps and obtained the h -principle of fold maps, which allows us to replace the existence problem of fold maps by an algebraic topology problem. Namely, a fold map $f : M \rightarrow N$ exists if and only if there is a section $s : M \rightarrow J^1(M, N)$ transversal to Σ^1 such that

- $s(M) \cap \Sigma^r = \emptyset$ for each $r \geq 2$,
- in a neighborhood U of each point x of $s^{-1}(\Sigma^1)$ there is a fold map f_x such that $s|_U = j^1 f_x|_U$, and
- for each index $i = 0, 1, \dots, (n - p + 1)/2$, there is a point $x \in s^{-1}(\Sigma^1)$ such that x is a fold singular point of f_x of index i .

The Eliashberg h -principle implies that if M is stably parallelizable, then every map $g : M \rightarrow S^p$ (or $g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$), $p \leq \dim M$, is homotopic to a fold map, which gives a complete solution to the original problem of Mather mentioned in §1.

In general, the stable parallelizability is not a necessary condition for the existence of fold maps into $\mathbb{R}^p$. According to the Thom–Levine theorem [25, 45], there exists a fold map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ of a closed connected manifold M with $\dim M \geq 2$ if and only if the Euler characteristic of M is even. Thus the existence problem of fold maps into $\mathbb{R}^2$ has been completely solved. For fold maps into $\mathbb{R}^3$, the first and the second authors independently determined necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of such a fold map on a closed oriented 4-manifold [34, 37], and

when $\dim M \geq 5$ the first and the third authors recently solved the problem except for a few cases (see [35] when $\dim M$ is even, and [42] when $\dim M$ is odd).

In this paper we mainly study the existence problem of fold maps of even dimensional manifolds into $\mathbb{R}^4$, which, by Theorem 2.1 below, is equivalent to the existence problem of fold maps in a given homotopy class of maps of an even dimensional manifold into S^4 .

In the following, we say that a fold map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is *tame* if the normal bundle of the immersion $f|_{S(f)}$ is orientable. Note that if $\dim M - \dim N$ is even, then every fold map is tame (for example, see [36]). In [36] the second author proved that if there is a tame fold map $f : M \rightarrow N$, then there exists a fiberwise epimorphism $TM \oplus \varepsilon^1 \rightarrow f^*TN$. On the other hand, using the Eliashberg h -principle [9, 10] in an essential way, Ando showed that the converse holds true as well.

Theorem 2.1 (Ando [2]). *Let $g : M \rightarrow N$ be a continuous map between smooth manifolds with $n = \dim M \geq \dim N = p$. Then there exists a tame fold map $f : M \rightarrow N$ homotopic to g if and only if there exists a fiberwise epimorphism $TM \oplus \varepsilon^1 \rightarrow g^*TN$.*

This suggests a close relationship between the existence problem of fold maps and that of vector fields. In order to clarify the relationship, let us recall the following definition.

Definition 2.2. Let ξ be a vector bundle over a CW complex. The maximum number of nowhere linearly dependent sections of ξ is called the *span* of ξ and is denoted by $\text{span}(\xi)$. If ξ is the tangent bundle TM of a manifold M , then the span of ξ is also called the *span* of M and is denoted by $\text{span}(M)$. The *stable span* of a vector bundle ξ , denoted by $\text{span}^0(\xi)$, is the limit of the non-negative non-decreasing sequence $\{s_n\}$, where $s_n + n$ is the span of $\xi \oplus \varepsilon^n$ for each $n \geq 0$. Similarly, if ξ is the tangent bundle TM of a manifold M , then the *stable span* of M can be defined as the number s such that $s + 1$ is the span of $\xi \oplus \varepsilon$, and is denoted by $\text{span}^0(M)$ (see [23, 24] for more details).

Let ν_N be the normal bundle of an embedding of N into $\mathbb{R}^m$ for some sufficiently big positive integer m .

Lemma 2.3. *A fiberwise epimorphism $TM \oplus \varepsilon^1 \rightarrow g^*TN$ exists if and only if $\text{span}^0(TM \oplus g^*\nu_N) \geq p + \dim \nu_N - 1$.*

Proof. A fiberwise epimorphism $TM \oplus \varepsilon^1 \rightarrow g^*TN$ extends to a fiberwise epimorphism

$$TM \oplus \varepsilon^1 \oplus g^*\nu_N \longrightarrow g^*TN \oplus g^*\nu_N \cong \varepsilon^m$$

over M , which implies $TM \oplus \varepsilon^1 \oplus g^*\nu_N \cong \eta \oplus \varepsilon^m$, where η is the kernel bundle of the above fiberwise epimorphism. Then, the desired inequality follows, since $m = p + \dim \nu_N$. On the other hand, if

$$\text{span}^0(TM \oplus g^*\nu_N) \geq p + \dim \nu_N - 1,$$

then there is a fiberwise epimorphism

$$TM \oplus \varepsilon^u \longrightarrow g^*TN \oplus \varepsilon^{u-1}$$

for some positive integer u . By dimensional reasoning, such a fiberwise epimorphism is homotopic to the direct sum of a desired fiberwise epimorphism and the fiberwise identity map $\varepsilon^{u-1} \rightarrow \varepsilon^{u-1}$. $\square$

In particular, we have the following:

Corollary 2.4. *Let M be a smooth n -dimensional manifold. For p with $n \geq p \geq 1$, if $\text{span}^0(M) \geq p - 1$, then there exists a tame fold map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$. When $n - p$ is even, $\text{span}^0(M) \geq p - 1$ if and only if there exists a fold map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$.*

Moreover, we have a homotopy theoretical interpretation of the stable span as follows. For an $(n + \ell)$ -plane bundle ξ with $\ell \geq 0$ over a CW complex W of dimension n , let $c_\xi : W \rightarrow \mathrm{BO}_{n+\ell+1}$ be the classifying map of $\xi \oplus \varepsilon$. Consider the natural fibration (see [48]):

$$V_{p+\ell, n+\ell+1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{BO}_{n-p+1} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{BO}_{n+\ell+1},$$

where $V_{m,k}$ is the Stiefel manifold consisting of all orthonormal m -frames in $\mathbb{R}^k$. Then $\mathrm{span}^0(\xi) \geq p + \ell - 1$ if and only if there exists a continuous map $\tilde{c} : W \rightarrow \mathrm{BO}_{n-p+1}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathrm{BO}_{n-p+1} \\ & \nearrow \tilde{c} & \downarrow \pi \\ W & \xrightarrow{c_\xi} & \mathrm{BO}_{n+\ell+1} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

We can study the existence of such a lift $\tilde{c}$ of c_ξ by using the Postnikov decomposition argument.

In general, given a continuous map $f : E \rightarrow B$ of path connected topological spaces with homotopy fiber F , the *Postnikov decomposition* or *Moore-Postnikov tower* of f is the decomposition of f of the form

$$E \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow E_2 \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow E_0 = B,$$

where the composition $E \rightarrow B$ is homotopic to f ; each map $E_{n+1} \rightarrow E_n$ is a fibration with fiber $K(\pi_n(F), n)$; each composition $E_n \rightarrow B$ induces an isomorphism of homotopy groups in dimensions $> n$, and an injection in dimension n ; and each composition $E \rightarrow E_n$ induces an isomorphism of homotopy groups in dimensions $< n$ and a surjection in dimension n .

If $f : E \rightarrow B$ is a fibration of CW complexes and $\pi_1(B)$ acts trivially on the homology groups of the fiber, then f admits a Postnikov decomposition where each fibration $E_{n+1} \rightarrow E_n$ is principal.

Now, to lift a continuous map $X \rightarrow B$ to a map $X \rightarrow E$, we may split the problem into those of constructing consecutive lifts of $X \rightarrow B$ to $X \rightarrow E_1$, $X \rightarrow E_2$ and so on. If X is a finite dimensional CW complex, then the lifts $X \rightarrow E$ are in bijective correspondence with the lifts $X \rightarrow E_n$ for sufficiently big positive integer n . On the other hand, the only obstruction to the existence of a lift of $X \rightarrow E_{n-1}$ to $X \rightarrow E_n$ is an algebraic invariant, called the n -th Postnikov invariant. For details we refer the reader to [47] and the sections “Postnikov towers” and “Obstruction theory” in [18].

Remark 2.5. As remarked in [24], we have the following formula:

$$\mathrm{span}(M \times S^1) = \mathrm{span}^0(M \times S^1) = \mathrm{span}^0(M) + 1.$$

This formula is useful for applying known results about the span of manifolds to the study of the stable span of manifolds.

Remark 2.6. According to the theory of wrinkled maps of Eliashberg–Mishachev [11], a closed connected manifold M with $\dim M \geq p$ admits a wrinkled map into $\mathbb{R}^p$ if and only if $\mathrm{span}(M) \geq p$. Therefore, by Corollary 2.4, if M admits a wrinkled map into $\mathbb{R}^p$, then it admits a tame fold map into $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$. We do not know if we can prove this fact by a direct geometric construction.

Remark 2.7. About the existence of fold maps, some results have already been obtained, for example, in [21, 29, 36].

3. FOLD MAPS BETWEEN EQUIDIMENSIONAL MANIFOLDS

Let us first consider the existence problem of fold maps between 4-manifolds. For this purpose, in view of the discussion in the previous section, we have only to find obstructions to the existence of a $(k-1)$ -frame¹⁾ for an arbitrary k -plane bundle over a CW complex of dimension 4 with $k \geq 5$.

In the following, for a CW complex W , we will denote its i -skeleton by $W^{[i]}$. Let ξ be a possibly non-orientable k -plane bundle over a CW complex W . A *pin structure*²⁾ on ξ is the homotopy class of a $(k-1)$ -frame of ξ over $W^{[1]}$ admitting an extension over $W^{[2]}$. When a specific pin structure, say $\mathfrak{p}$, is given, we call ξ a *pin vector bundle* and denote it by $(\xi, \mathfrak{p})$. Let $\mathbf{BPin}$ denote the direct limit of the classifying spaces $\mathbf{BPin}_k$ of pin k -plane bundles. Note that the cohomology classes of $\mathbf{BPin}$ are considered to be characteristic classes of pin vector bundles. It is known that there is a class $z \in H^4(\mathbf{BPin}; \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad 2z = p_1 \quad \text{and} \quad z \equiv w_4 \pmod{2},$$

where p_1 is the first Pontrjagin class and w_4 is the 4th Stiefel–Whitney class (see Appendix). Since $H^3(\mathbf{BPin}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ (e.g., see the calculation of $H^*(\mathbf{BSpin}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ in [43]) contains only one nontrivial element w_1^3 and $\text{Sq}^1(w_1^3) \neq 0$, there is only one class z that satisfies condition (3.1).

Theorem 3.1. *Let ξ be an arbitrary k -plane bundle over a CW complex W of dimension 4 with $k \geq 5$. The primary obstruction to the existence of a $(k-1)$ -frame on ξ is $w_2(\xi)$, i.e. $w_2(\xi)$ vanishes if and only if ξ admits a pin structure, say $\mathfrak{p}$. The secondary obstruction is a class $z(\xi, \mathfrak{p}) \in H^4(W; \mathbb{Z})$ for which $2z(\xi, \mathfrak{p}) = p_1(\xi)$ and $z(\xi, \mathfrak{p}) \equiv w_4(\xi) \pmod{2}$. Furthermore, $z(\xi) = z(\xi, \mathfrak{p})$ does not depend on a particular pin structure $\mathfrak{p}$ on ξ . In other words, if $w_2(\xi) = 0$, then ξ admits a $(k-1)$ -frame if and only if $z(\xi) = 0$.*

Proof. There exists a vector bundle $\bar{\xi}$ of sufficiently high rank over W such that $\xi \oplus \bar{\xi}$ is trivial. Let η be the line bundle over W with $w_1(\eta) = w_1(\xi)$. Then ξ admits a $(k-1)$ -frame if and only if ξ is isomorphic to $\eta \oplus \varepsilon^{k-1}$. Since $k \geq 5$, this last condition is equivalent to the triviality of $\eta \oplus \bar{\xi}$.

According to [7] (see also [8]), the primary obstruction is given by $w_2(\eta \oplus \bar{\xi}) = w_2(\xi)$. If this vanishes, then $\eta \oplus \bar{\xi}$ is trivial over $W^{[3]}$. The secondary obstruction is given by a class d in $H^4(W; \mathbb{Z})$, which is the cohomology class of a difference cocycle, and this class does not depend on a particular trivialization of $\eta \oplus \bar{\xi}$ over $W^{[3]}$. Furthermore, by [7, Theorem 2(c)], the class $z = -d$ or $z = d$ (depending on the sign convention) satisfies the condition (3.1), and therefore d can be identified with $z(\xi)$ up to sign. This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.2. Let us interpret the above result from the viewpoint of Postnikov decompositions. We may assume that $k = 5$. Let us consider the fibration

$$V_{4,5} \longrightarrow \mathbf{BO}_1 \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{BO}_5.$$

Since the fundamental group of $\mathbf{BO}_5$ acts trivially on the homotopy groups of the fiber $V_{4,5}$ (see [20, p. 2]) and $\pi_1(V_{4,5}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ is abelian, there is a classical Postnikov decomposition of π . Its first Postnikov invariant, w_2 , determines a principal fibration $r : \mathbf{BPin}_5 \rightarrow \mathbf{BO}_5$. We choose a fibration q that covers the map π with respect

¹⁾An ℓ -frame of a vector bundle means a set of ℓ nowhere linearly dependent sections.

²⁾This corresponds to a Pin^+ -structure in the literature (for example, see [22]).

to r so that the homotopy class of the restriction $v : V_{4,5} \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1)$ of q to a fiber of π coincides with the fundamental class of $V_{4,5}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{4,5} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{BO}_1 \\ v \downarrow & & q \downarrow \\ K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{BPin}_5 \\ & & r \downarrow \\ & & \mathrm{BO}_5 \xrightarrow{w_2} K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 2). \end{array}$$

Note that $\pi_2(V_{4,5}) = 0$ and $\pi_3(V_{4,5}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, for the fiber F of q , we have $\pi_1(F) = \pi_2(F) = 0$ and $\pi_3(F) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Consequently, the next nontrivial Postnikov invariant is a class in $H^4(\mathrm{BPin}_5; \mathbb{Z}) = H^4(\mathrm{BPin}; \mathbb{Z})$. By using spectral sequence arguments, we can identify this class with z up to sign (see Appendix). Therefore, $z(\xi)$ can be considered as the secondary obstruction in the sense of the Postnikov decomposition as well.

Let us apply the above results to the existence problem of fold maps between 4-manifolds. By Theorem 2.1, we have the following.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $g : M \rightarrow N$ be a continuous map between smooth 4-manifolds, where M is closed and connected. Then g is homotopic to a fold map if and only if $w_2(TM - g^*TN) \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ vanishes and one of the following conditions holds, where $TM - g^*TN$ denotes the formal difference bundle.*

- (i) *When M is orientable, $p_1(TM - g^*TN) \in H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$ vanishes.*
- (ii) *When M is non-orientable, $w_4(TM - g^*TN) \in H^4(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ vanishes.*

Proof. If M is orientable, then $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, the vanishing of z is equivalent to the vanishing of p_1 . When M is non-orientable, the reduction modulo two $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ gives rise to an isomorphism $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^4(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Then the vanishing of z is equivalent to the vanishing of w_4 . $\square$

As an immediate corollary, we have the following.

Corollary 3.4. *A closed connected 4-manifold M admits a fold map into $\mathbb{R}^4$ if and only if $w_2(M) \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ vanishes and*

- (i) *$p_1(M) = 0$ in $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$ when M is orientable, or*
- (ii) *$w_4(M) = 0$ in $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ when M is non-orientable.*

Example 3.5. Let Σ be a closed connected orientable surface and F a closed connected non-orientable surface. Then, $F \times \Sigma$ admits a fold map into $\mathbb{R}^4$ if F has even Euler characteristic, since then w_2 and w_4 both vanish. In fact, it is easy to construct a fold map $g : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ if F has even Euler characteristic. Then the composition

$$F \times \Sigma \xrightarrow{g \times \mathrm{id}_\Sigma} \mathbb{R}^2 \times \Sigma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$$

gives an explicit fold map, where the last map is an arbitrary embedding.

On the other hand, if F has odd Euler characteristic, then $F \times \Sigma$ does not admit a fold map into $\mathbb{R}^4$, since then w_2 does not vanish, although its w_4 vanishes. The real 4-dimensional projective space $\mathbb{R}P^4$ does not admit a fold map into $\mathbb{R}^4$, since w_4 does not vanish, although its w_2 vanishes.

Let M be the underlying smooth 4-manifold of a K3 surface. Then it does not admit a fold map into $\mathbb{R}^4$, since its p_1 does not vanish, although its w_2 vanishes. On the other hand, $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ does not admit a fold map into $\mathbb{R}^4$, since its w_2 does not vanish, although its p_1 vanishes.

We can apply the proof of Theorem 3.1 to the existence problem of fold maps between higher dimensional manifolds as well, as follows.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $g : M \rightarrow N$ be a continuous map between smooth n -dimensional manifolds, where M is closed, connected and $n < 8$. Then g is homotopic to a fold map if and only if both $w_2(TM - g^*TN) \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $z(TM - g^*TN, \mathfrak{p}) \in H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$ vanish, where $TM - g^*TN$ denotes the formal difference bundle, $\mathfrak{p}$ is a pin structure, and $z(TM - g^*TN, \mathfrak{p})$ does not depend on a particular choice of $\mathfrak{p}$.*

Theorem 3.6 follows from the final remark of [7, §2] in view of the interpretation of z observed in the proof of Theorem 3.1. In terms of Postnikov decompositions the theorem can be proved as follows.

Proof. Recall that the homotopy groups of the space $V_{m-1,m} \cong \mathrm{SO}_m$ get stabilized as m tends to infinity, since the inclusion $\mathrm{SO}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{m+1}$ induces an isomorphism of homotopy groups for dimensions less than $m - 1$. Therefore, the comparison of the two fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V_{m-1,m} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{BO}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{BO}_m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V_{m,m+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{BO}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{BO}_{m+1} \end{array}$$

shows that the Postnikov invariants and their indeterminacies of the lower fibration in dimensions $\leq m - 1$ coincide with the corresponding Postnikov invariants and indeterminacies of the upper fibration. On the other hand, we have $\pi_4(V_{5,6}) = 0$, and if $m \geq 6$, then $\pi_i(V_{m,m+1}) = 0$ for $i = 4, 5, 6$, which implies that there are no Postnikov invariants in dimensions 5, 6 and 7. Consequently, for manifolds of dimensions 5, 6 and 7, the obstruction coincides with that found in Theorem 3.1. As a consequence, we obtain Theorem 3.6 in view of Remark 3.2. $\square$

Let us end this section by a remark from the viewpoint of elimination of singularities. Let M be a closed connected 4-manifold and $g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ a stable map (for details, see [16], for example). Note that the set of stable maps is open and dense in $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}^4)$ (see [26]). For a singular point $x \in S(g)$, there exist local coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) and (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) around x and $g(x)$, respectively, such that g has one of the following normal forms (see [16]):

- (i) $y_i = x_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), $y_4 = x_4^2$ (x : fold or A_1 -type);
- (ii) $y_i = x_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), $y_4 = x_4^3 + x_1x_4$ (x : cusp or A_2 -type);
- (iii) $y_i = x_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), $y_4 = x_4^4 + x_1x_4^2 + x_2x_4$ (x : swallowtail or A_3 -type);
- (iv) $y_i = x_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), $y_4 = x_4^5 + x_1x_4^3 + x_2x_4^2 + x_3x_4$ (x : butterfly or A_4 -type);
- (v) $y_i = x_i$ ($i = 1, 2$), $y_3 = x_3^2 + x_1x_4$, $y_4 = x_4^2 + x_2x_3$ (x : hyperbolic umbilic);
- (vi) $y_i = x_i$ ($i = 1, 2$), $y_3 = x_3^2 - x_4^2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_4$, $y_4 = x_3x_4 + x_2x_3 - x_1x_4$ (x : elliptic umbilic).

We denote by $A_k(g)$ the set of A_k -type singularities of g for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and by $\Sigma^{2,0}(g)$ the set of hyperbolic and elliptic umbilics of g .

The Thom polynomials for the above singularities have been calculated as follows (for example, see [40, p. 1119]):

$$\begin{aligned} [S(g)]_2^* &= \bar{w}_1 = w_1, & [\overline{A_2(g)}]_2^* &= \bar{w}_1^2 + \bar{w}_2 = w_2, \\ [\overline{A_3(g)}]_2^* &= \bar{w}_1^3 + \bar{w}_1\bar{w}_2 = w_1w_2, & [\overline{A_4(g)}]_2^* &= \bar{w}_1^4 + \bar{w}_1\bar{w}_3 = w_1w_3, \\ [\overline{\Sigma^{2,0}(g)}]_2^* &= \bar{w}_2^2 + \bar{w}_1\bar{w}_3 = w_2^2 + w_1w_3, & [\overline{\Sigma^{2,0}(g)}]^* &= \bar{p}_1 = -p_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{w}_i$ (resp. $\bar{p}_i$) denotes the i -th dual Stiefel–Whitney (resp. dual Pontrjagin) class, and the symbol $[X]_2^*$ denotes the Poincaré dual to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -homology class of M represented by X . When M is oriented, the normal bundle to the 0-dimensional submanifold $\Sigma^{2,0}(g)$ has a canonical orientation and $[\overline{\Sigma^{2,0}(g)}]^*$ denotes the Poincaré

dual to the $\mathbb{Z}$ -homology class represented by $\Sigma^{2,0}(g)$. Note that w_1w_3 always vanishes for a closed 4-manifold.

Let us consider the elimination problem of singularities of prescribed types. More precisely, let us consider the elimination of singularities other than the fold points. By virtue of the adjacency of singularities, this is equivalent to the elimination of cusps.

By Corollary 3.4, when M is orientable, the singularities other than the fold points can be eliminated if and only if their associated Thom polynomials all vanish. In other words, the vanishing of the primary obstructions is sufficient for the elimination.

On the other hand, when M is non-orientable, even if all the Thom polynomials other than that for fold points vanish, the 4-th Stiefel–Whitney class may not vanish. In this sense, w_4 can be considered as the secondary obstruction for the elimination of cusps, or all those singularities other than the fold points. This observation is also justified by Remark 3.2.

Note that if $g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ is a stable map with only A_k -type singularities, then $\langle w_4(M), [M]_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ coincides with the Euler characteristic modulo two of $\overline{A_2(g)}$, where $[M]_2 \in H_4(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -fundamental class of M (see [15] and [40, Theorem 4.1]). This means that $w_4(M) = i_! w_2(\overline{A_2(g)})$, where $i_! : H^2(\overline{A_2(g)}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^4(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the Gysin homomorphism induced by the inclusion $i : \overline{A_2(g)} \rightarrow M$. In this sense, w_4 may be considered as a higher Thom polynomial (compare this with [1], for example).

For maps between n -dimensional manifolds, $n = 5, 6, 7$, it is known that the Thom polynomial for cusp singularities coincides with w_2 . Furthermore, the Thom polynomial for $\Sigma^{2,0}$ singularities in integer coefficient is known to be given by $p_1 + \beta w_3$, where β is the Bockstein homomorphism associated with the coefficient exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times 2} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

(see [14, 32, 33]). Note that the modulo two reduction of βw_3 is equal to w_1w_3 , which is the Thom polynomial for A_4 singularities. Therefore, if the Thom polynomials for A_2 , A_4 and $\Sigma^{2,0}$ singularities all vanish, then w_2 and $p_1 = 2z$ vanish.

However, even if the Thom polynomials vanish for all singularities, the obstruction z may not vanish. For example, let us consider the n -dimensional manifold $M = \mathbb{R}P^4 \times S^{n-4}$, $n = 5, 6, 7$. Then, it is easy to see that all the Thom polynomials for singularities of codimension up to 4 vanish. Singularities of codimension 5, 6 or 7 may appear and their Thom polynomials are known (see [14, 32], for example). However, their Thom polynomials for M all vanish, since the polynomials in characteristic classes of M of degree ≥ 5 all vanish. On the other hand, $w_4(M)$ does not vanish, which implies that z , whose modulo two reduction coincides with w_4 , does not vanish. Therefore, for M , all the relevant Thom polynomials vanish, although it does not admit a fold map into $\mathbb{R}^n$. This means that the obstruction z is essentially secondary.

4. FOLD MAPS OF EVEN DIMENSIONAL MANIFOLDS INTO $\mathbb{R}^4$

In the case of maps into $\mathbb{R}^4$ of an even dimensional manifold M , the existence problem of a fold map is closely related to that of a 3-frame on M . Let us recall that a fold map of M into $\mathbb{R}^4$ exists if and only if $\text{span}^0(M) \geq 3$, i.e., $TM \oplus \varepsilon$ admits at least four nowhere linearly dependent sections.

To simplify the formulation of statements, in all theorems of this section we will assume that the manifold M is closed and connected. Let us first recall the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1 (Eagle; Koschorke [24]). *If M is an even dimensional manifold and its Euler characteristic $\chi(M)$ vanishes, then $\text{span}(M) = \text{span}^0(M)$ always holds.*

First, let us consider the case where the dimension of the manifold M is a multiple of 4.

Theorem 4.2 (Atiyah–Dupont [4]). *An oriented manifold M of dimension $n = 4k$, with $k > 1$, admits a 3-frame if and only if $w_{n-2}(M) = 0$, $\chi(M) = 0$ and the signature $\sigma(M)$ of M is divisible by 8.*

By using Theorem 4.2, we can prove the following.

Theorem 4.3. *An oriented manifold M of dimension $n = 4k$, with $k > 2$, admits a fold map into $\mathbb{R}^4$ if and only if $w_{n-2}(M) = 0$ and the signature $\sigma(M)$ of M is divisible by 8.*

Proof. In the case where $\chi(M) = 0$ the assertion follows from Theorem 2.1 and the Atiyah–Dupont theorem. If $\chi(M)$ is odd, then neither the signature of M is divisible by 8, nor the manifold M admits a stable 3-frame. Hence, we may assume that $\chi(M)$ is even.

Let us first consider the case where $\chi(M) > 0$. Suppose that the statement of the theorem has been proved for all manifolds with Euler characteristic $\chi(M) - 2$. In particular, we assume that the theorem holds true for the connected sum $M \sharp S$, where S stands for $S^{2k-1} \times S^{2k+1}$.

If M admits a fold map, then so does $M \sharp S$. Hence, by the inductive assumption $w_{4k-2}(M \sharp S)$ vanishes and the signature of $M \sharp S$ is divisible by 8. Consequently, $w_{4k-2}(M) = 0$ and $\sigma(M) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, as it has been claimed.

Conversely, if $w_{4k-2}(M) = 0$ and $\sigma(M) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, then the same equalities hold for $M \sharp S$ as well. Consequently, by the inductive assumption, the bundle $T(M \sharp S) \oplus \varepsilon$ admits a 4-frame. On the other hand, since $\pi_i(V_{4,4k+1}) = 0$ for $i \leq 4k - 4$, every stable 3-frame over $S^{2k-1} \vee S^{2k+1}$ is homotopic to the trivial stable 3-frame, provided that $k \geq 3$; and therefore a stable 3-frame over $M \sharp S$ gives rise to at least one stable 3-frame over M .

In the case where $\chi(M) < 0$, we can prove the statement by a similar induction, considering $M \sharp (S^{2k} \times S^{2k})$ whose Euler characteristic is equal to $\chi(M) + 2$. $\square$

Remark 4.4. Our technique does not apply to manifolds of dimension 8, since in the case $k = 2$ there exists a non-trivial stable 3-frame over $S^{2k-1} \vee S^{2k+1}$.

In the case of a manifold M of dimension $4k + 2$, we make use of a theorem which is due to Atiyah–Dupont [4] (in the orientable case) and Koschorke [24] (in the non-orientable case).

Theorem 4.5. *A manifold M of dimension $n = 4k + 2$, with $k > 0$ in the orientable case and $k > 1$ in the non-orientable case, admits a 3-frame if and only if $\chi(M) = 0$ and $w_{n-2}(M) = 0$.*

In view of Theorem 4.5, the argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 4.3 yields a condition for the existence of a fold map into $\mathbb{R}^4$ of a manifold of dimension $4k + 2$ as follows.

Theorem 4.6. *A manifold M of dimension $n = 4k + 2$, with $k > 1$, admits a fold map into $\mathbb{R}^4$ if and only if $w_{n-2}(M) = 0$.*

Proof. First note that we have

$$Sq^2(w_{4k}) = w_2 w_{4k} + w_{4k+2}$$

by virtue of the Wu formula (for example, see [28]). Therefore, if w_{4k} vanishes, then so does w_{4k+2} . Then the rest of the argument is similar to that in the proof of Theorem 4.3. $\square$

Remark 4.7. Our technique does not apply to manifolds of dimension 6, since in the case $k = 1$ there exists a non-trivial stable 3-frame over $S^{2k+1} \vee S^{2k+1}$.

5. FOLD MAPS OF NON-ORIENTABLE 4-MANIFOLDS INTO $\mathbb{R}^3$

As has already been mentioned in §2, the existence problem of a fold map into $\mathbb{R}^3$ for closed orientable 4-manifolds has been solved by the first and the second authors, independently. In this section, let us consider the existence problem of fold maps into $\mathbb{R}^3$ for closed non-orientable 4-manifolds.

It immediately follows from Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 3.4 that a closed non-orientable 4-manifold M admits a tame fold map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ if $w_2(M) = 0$ and $w_4(M) = 0$. For example,

$$M = \#^{2\ell} \mathbb{R}P^4 \#^k (S^2 \times S^2) \#^m (S^1 \times S^3)$$

with $\ell \geq 1$, $k \geq 0$ and $m \geq 0$ admits a fold map into $\mathbb{R}^3$. However, the same argument does not tell us if $\#^{2\ell+1} \mathbb{R}P^4$ admits a fold map into $\mathbb{R}^3$ or not.

Nevertheless, for the existence of tame fold maps, we have the following.

Theorem 5.1. *Let M be a closed connected non-orientable 4-manifold. Then there exists a tame fold map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ if and only if $W_3(M) = 0$ in $H^3(M; \mathbb{Z}_{w_1(M)})$ and $w_4(M) = 0$ in $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{w_1(M)}$ denotes the orientation local system of M and W_3 denotes the 3rd Whitney class for twisted coefficients.*

Using Theorem 5.1, we see that $\#^{2\ell+1} \mathbb{R}P^4$ does not admit a tame fold map into $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Remark 5.2. An argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 4.3 cannot be used to deduce Theorem 5.1 from a result on the span of 4-manifolds (see Remark 5.4) as there exist non-trivial 3-frames in the trivial vector 5-bundle over $S^1 \vee S^3$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. In view of Theorem 2.1, we have only to show that the stable span of M satisfies $\text{span}^0(M) \geq 2$ if and only if both $W_3(M)$ and $w_4(M)$ vanish.

Recall that $\text{span}^0(M) \geq 2$ if and only if the map $t_M : M \rightarrow \text{BO}_5$ classifying $TM \oplus \varepsilon^1$ admits a lift with respect to the fibration

$$V_{3,5} \longrightarrow \text{BO}_2 \longrightarrow \text{BO}_5.$$

It is known that $\pi_2(V_{3,5}) \cong \pi_3(V_{3,5}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and that the action of $\pi_1(\text{BO}_5)$ on $\pi_2(V_{3,5})$ is nontrivial. Hence, the primary obstruction to the existence of such a lift is the Whitney class $W_3(M) \in H^3(M; \mathbb{Z}_{w_1(M)})$ (for this and the following arguments, the reader is referred to [30, 31]).

Suppose that $W_3(M) = 0$. Then there is a lift over a 3-skeleton of M . Recall that the action of $\pi_1(\text{BO}_5)$ on $\pi_3(V_{3,5})$ is trivial. Let E be the space in the second stage of the Postnikov decomposition and $k \in H^4(E; \mathbb{Z}) = [E, K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)]$ be the second Postnikov invariant:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V_{3,5} & \longrightarrow & \text{BO}_2 & & \\ & & \downarrow q & & \\ K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{k} & K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) \\ & & \downarrow r & & \\ M & \xrightarrow{t_M} & \text{BO}_5 & \xrightarrow{W_3} & L(\mathbb{Z}, 3). \end{array}$$

Since M is non-orientable, the modulo two reduction $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^4(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, we have only to consider the modulo two reduction of the Postnikov invariant.

We see that the modulo two reduction $\bar{k}$ of k coincides with r^*w_4 . Indeed, the modulo two reduction homomorphism $H^4(E; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^4(E; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is associated to the obvious homomorphism $\pi_3(V_{3,5}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_3(V_{2,5}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ of coefficient groups.

To calculate the indeterminacy we need to consider the map

$$\alpha : K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \times E \rightarrow E$$

given by the action of the fiber $K = K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ on the fibration $r : E \rightarrow \text{BO}_5$. Then we can express the class $\alpha^*\bar{k}$ in the form

$$\alpha^*\bar{k} = (1 \times x) + (at \times y) + (bt^2 \times 1)$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and for some $x \in H^4(E; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $y \in H^2(E; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, where $t \in H^2(K; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the generator.

We know that the inclusion $K \rightarrow E$ in the fibration $r : E \rightarrow \text{BO}_5$ takes k to the Postnikov invariant of the fibration $V_{3,5} \rightarrow K$. Let F denote the fiber of this fibration. Since F is 2-connected, we have the Serre long exact sequence

$$H^3(K; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^3(V_{3,5}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^3(F; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^4(K; \mathbb{Z}_2),$$

where the map $H^3(F; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^4(K; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ corresponds to the transgression we are interested in, and the other maps are the homomorphisms induced by the inclusion of the fiber, and the fiber bundle projection. It is easy to see that the above sequence has the form $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$, which implies that the modulo two Postnikov invariant of the fibration $V_{3,5} \rightarrow K$ is trivial. Hence, considering the composition $K \rightarrow K \times E \rightarrow E$, we conclude that $b = 0$.

Next, by considering the composition $E \rightarrow K \times E \rightarrow E$ we conclude that $x = r^*w_4$.

Finally, let us determine a and y . In fact, we will show that the term $at \times y$ is trivial. Since the homotopy fiber of q is 2-connected, the map q induces an isomorphism of integral cohomology groups in dimension two. Hence $H^2(E; \mathbb{Z})$ contains only one non-zero element, namely $(q^*)^{-1}(\beta w_1)$, which coincides with $r^*(\beta w_1)$. Since y is the modulo two reduction of some class in $H^2(E; \mathbb{Z})$, it follows that y is a multiple of $r^*(w_1^2)$. If y is zero, then $at \times y$ is trivial as it has been claimed.

Suppose that y is not zero, i.e., $y = r^*(w_1^2)$.

Let us consider the non-orientable 4-manifold $\mathbb{R}P^4$, which satisfies $W_3(\mathbb{R}P^4) = 0$ and $w_4(\mathbb{R}P^4) \neq 0$. In particular, we have $\text{span}^0(\mathbb{R}P^4) = 0$ and hence the secondary obstruction for $\mathbb{R}P^4$ must be nontrivial. Let $\tilde{t} : \mathbb{R}P^4 \rightarrow E$ be a lift of $t_{\mathbb{R}P^4}$. For a map $s : \mathbb{R}P^4 \rightarrow K$, we have

$$(s, \tilde{t})^*\alpha^*\bar{k} = w_4(\mathbb{R}P^4) + as^*t \smile w_1(\mathbb{R}P^4)^2,$$

where $(s, \tilde{t}) : \mathbb{R}P^4 \rightarrow K \times E$ is the map that sends $p \in \mathbb{R}P^4$ to $(s(p), \tilde{t}(p))$. On the other hand, for the map $g : \mathbb{R}P^4 \rightarrow K$ corresponding to $\beta w_1(\mathbb{R}P^4) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}P^4; \mathbb{Z})$, we have $g^*t = w_1(\mathbb{R}P^4)^2$. Since it holds that $w_1^4 = w_4$ for $\mathbb{R}P^4$ (see, for example, [28]), if $a \neq 0$, then this implies that the secondary obstruction vanishes, which is a contradiction. Thus we have $a = 0$. Therefore, $\alpha^*\bar{k} = 1 \times r^*w_4$ and we conclude that w_4 is the secondary obstruction. $\square$

Remark 5.3. We can also prove Theorem 5.1 in a simpler way as follows. Let us consider the exact sequence

$$H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}_{w_1(M)}) \xrightarrow{\times 2} H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}_{w_1(M)}) \xrightarrow{\text{mod } 2} H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\beta}} H^3(M; \mathbb{Z}_{w_1(M)})$$

associated with the coefficient exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{w_1(M)} \xrightarrow{\times 2} \mathbb{Z}_{w_1(M)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

If there exists a tame fold map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, then the singular set $S(f)$ is an orientable surface. Since the Poincaré dual to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -homology class represented by $S(f)$ coincides with $w_2(M)$ (see [45]), this implies $W_3(M) = \tilde{\beta}w_2(M) = 0$ (see [6]). Furthermore, it is known that the Euler characteristic of M has the same parity as that of $S(f)$ (see [15]). Therefore, both $W_3(M)$ and $w_4(M)$ vanish.

Conversely, suppose that both $W_3(M)$ and $w_4(M)$ vanish. Since $W_3(M) = \tilde{\beta}w_2(M) = 0$, there exists a cohomology class $e \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}_{w_1(M)})$ whose modulo two reduction coincides with $w_2(M)$. Then we see easily that there exists a 2-plane bundle η over M with $w_1(\eta) = w_1(M)$ such that its Euler class $e(\eta) \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}_{w_1(M)})$ coincides with e .

In order to show that there exists a fiberwise epimorphism $TM \oplus \varepsilon^1 \rightarrow \varepsilon^3$, it suffices to show that $TM \oplus \varepsilon^1 \cong \eta \oplus \varepsilon^3$. For this, we have only to show that $\xi = \eta \oplus \nu_M$ is trivial, where ν_M is the normal bundle of an embedding of M into a Euclidean space of sufficiently big dimension.

By our construction, it is easy to see that both $w_1(\xi)$ and $w_2(\xi)$ vanish. Therefore, ξ has a trivialization over the 3-skeleton of M . It is known (see [20, p. 2]) that the principal SO_5 -bundle over M associated to ξ is simple. Hence, the obstruction to extending the trivialization over the whole of M is an element in $H^4(M; \pi_3(\mathrm{SO}_5))$, which, in view of the isomorphism

$$H^4(M; \pi_3(\mathrm{SO}_5)) \longrightarrow H^4(M; \pi_3(V_{2,5})),$$

can be interpreted as the obstruction to the existence of a 2-frame for ξ . In other words, the obstruction coincides with $w_4(\xi) = w_4(M)$.

Alternatively, we can use a result of [7] to show that ξ is trivial.

Corollary 5.4. *Let $g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a stable map of a closed connected 4-manifold M such that the singular set $S(g)$ is orientable. Then there exists a tame fold map of M into $\mathbb{R}^3$.*

Proof. By an argument as in Remark 5.3, we see that both $W_3(M)$ and $w_4(M)$ vanish. Then the result follows from Theorem 5.1. $\square$

Remark 5.5. By Randall [31, Corollary 3.7] (see also [24, 30]), a closed connected non-orientable 4-manifold M satisfies $\mathrm{span}(M) \geq 2$ if and only if $W_3(M) = 0$ and its Euler characteristic vanishes.

Remark 5.6. In [36], the second author constructed a fold map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ of the total space M of an $\mathbb{R}P^2$ -bundle over $\mathbb{R}P^2$. Note that $w_4(M)$ does not vanish and that this fold map is not tame. This means that the vanishing of w_4 is not necessary for the existence of a fold map.

Remark 5.7. Suppose that a closed non-orientable 4-manifold M admits a fold map into $\mathbb{R}^4$. Then we have $\mathrm{span}^0(M) \geq 3$, and therefore M admits a tame fold map into $\mathbb{R}^3$ by Corollary 2.4. This observation is easily seen to be consistent with Corollary 3.4 and Theorem 5.1.

Remark 5.8. We do not know if W_3 or w_4 can be interpreted as a certain Thom polynomial for some singularities.

As to fold maps of 6-dimensional manifolds into $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have the following.

Theorem 5.9. *Let M be a closed 6-dimensional manifold. If M is orientable, then there always exists a tame fold map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$. If M is non-orientable and $W_5(M) = 0$ in $H^5(M; \mathbb{Z}_{w_1(M)})$, then there exists a tame fold map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$.*

Proof. When M is orientable, according to [31], the span of the 7-dimensional manifold $M \times S^1$ satisfies $\mathrm{span}(M \times S^1) \geq 3$. This implies $\mathrm{span}^0(M) \geq 2$ by Remark 2.5. Therefore, by Corollary 2.4, we have the desired conclusion.

When M is non-orientable, $\text{span}(M \times S^1) \geq 3$ if $W_5(M \times S^1)$ vanishes. Thus we have the desired conclusion. $\square$

Remark 5.10. In [42], a slightly weaker version of the above theorem is given.

Remark 5.11. For $n \geq 5$, the existence problem of fold maps into $\mathbb{R}^3$ for closed n -dimensional manifolds has been almost completely solved by the first and the third authors. In [42], when n is odd, it is proved that a closed n -dimensional manifold M admits a fold map into $\mathbb{R}^3$ if and only if $w_{n-1}(M) = 0$. Note that $w_{n-1}(M)$ coincides with the Thom polynomial for cusp singularities. On the other hand, in [35], it is proved that a closed orientable n -dimensional manifold always admits a fold map into $\mathbb{R}^3$ when n is even and $n \geq 8$. For $n = 6$, see Theorem 5.9.

6. APPENDIX

In this section we prove claims made in the beginning of §3 and in Remark 3.2. These assertions might be folklore, but as we could not find them in the literature, we decided to include them in this paper as Appendix.

In this section we continue to use notations introduced in Remark 3.2.

To begin with let us identify the first two Postnikov invariants of the obvious fibration p :

$$V_{4,5} \longrightarrow \text{BSO}_1 \xrightarrow{p} \text{BSO}_5.$$

The first one is known to be the classical obstruction class w_2 . Consequently, the space in the second stage of the Postnikov decomposition of p is nothing but BSpin_5 . The next nontrivial Postnikov class is in degree 4 as $\pi_2(V_{4,5}) = 0$, while $\pi_3(V_{4,5}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\tilde{H}^*(\text{BSO}_1; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, we conclude that the second Postnikov invariant is a generator $\tilde{z}$ of $H^4(\text{BSpin}_5; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, which is known to coincide up to sign with half of the first Pontrjagin class of the universal spin bundle [46]. Let us also observe that since $\tilde{z}$ is not divisible by two, its reduction modulo 2 is the unique non-trivial element w_4 in $H^4(\text{BSpin}_5; \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Lemma 6.1. *The group $H^4(\text{BPin}_5; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. It is generated by a class z with $r^*p_1 = 2z$, and $y = r^*(\beta w_1)^2$. The transgression of q takes the fundamental class of F to $\pm z$.*

Proof. Let us consider the fibration $K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1) \rightarrow \text{BPin}_5 \xrightarrow{r} \text{BO}_5$. It is known [5], [13] that the group $H^4(\text{BO}_5; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ is generated by $p_1, (\beta w_1)^2$ and $\beta(w_1 w_2)$. Since $\tilde{H}^*(K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1); \mathbb{Q}) = 0$, the Serre exact sequence implies that r induces an isomorphism of rational cohomology groups

$$H^i(\text{BPin}_5; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^i(\text{BO}_5; \mathbb{Q})$$

for all i . In particular, the class $x = r^*p_1$ in $H^4(\text{BPin}_5; \mathbb{Z})$ is of infinite order. On the other hand, the map q^* takes $y = r^*(\beta w_1)^2$ to $(\beta w_1)^2 \in H^4(\text{BO}_1; \mathbb{Z})$. Hence $y \neq 0$. Consequently, $H^4(\text{BPin}_5; \mathbb{Z})$ contains an element of infinite order and an element of order two.

Let us consider, now, the fibration $F \rightarrow \text{BO}_1 \xrightarrow{q} \text{BPin}_5$. We have $H^1(F; \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(F; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, $H^3(F; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, $H^4(\text{BO}_1; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and the exact sequence

$$(6.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^3(F; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\tau} H^4(\text{BPin}_5; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow E_{\infty}^{4,0} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where τ is the transgression. Let us recall that $H^3(F; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and the group $H^4(\text{BPin}_5; \mathbb{Z})$ contains an element of infinite order and an element of order two. Hence, from the exact sequence (6.1), it follows that the group $E_{\infty}^{4,0}$ is not zero. On the other hand, we observe that the group $E_{\infty}^{4,0}$ is a term of the Serre spectral sequence associated with the fibration q . Consequently, by the Serre Theorem (e.g., see [19, Theorem 1.14(b)]), the group $E_{\infty}^{4,0}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of

$H^4(\mathrm{BO}_1; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Hence $E_\infty^{4,0} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Since $H^4(\mathrm{BPin}_5; \mathbb{Z})$ contains an element of order two, the exact sequence (6.1) implies now that $H^4(\mathrm{BPin}_5; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ with the first factor generated by the image z of the fundamental class of F by the transgression. Let y stand for $r^*(\beta w_1)^2$, which is the unique element of order two in $H^4(\mathrm{BPin}_5; \mathbb{Z})$. Then, for some integer n , the class r^*p_1 has the form $nz + \alpha y$, where α equals 0 or 1. The classes r^*p_1 and z are in the kernel of the homomorphism q^* , while q^*y is the generator of $H^4(\mathrm{BO}_1; \mathbb{Z})$. Hence $\alpha = 0$ and r^*p_1 is a multiple of z .

There is a map of the Postnikov decomposition of $\mathrm{BSO}_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{BSO}_5$ into the Postnikov decomposition of $\mathrm{BO}_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{BO}_5$. Such a map takes the class z to the class $\tilde{z}$. Hence $r^*p_1 = \pm 2z$ (see the argument just before Lemma 6.1). If necessary, we may substitute z by $-z$ so that we have $2z = r^*p_1$. $\square$

Next we determine the reduction modulo two of the class z .

Lemma 6.2. *The homomorphism of cohomology groups associated with the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\text{mod } 2} \mathbb{Z}_2$ takes z to the class $w_4(r^*\gamma)$, where γ is the universal 5-plane bundle over BO_5 .*

Proof. The bundle $r^*\gamma$ is the universal pin vector bundle, while the class z is the obstruction to extending the universal pin structure of $r^*\gamma$ to a 4-frame of $r^*\gamma$ over a 4-skeleton of BPin_5 . Since $\pi_2(V_{4,5}) = 0$, there is an extension of the universal pin structure to a 4-frame over a 3-skeleton of BPin_5 . Furthermore, by [20, p. 2], the action of $\pi_1(\mathrm{BPin}_5)$ on $\pi_3(\mathrm{BPin}_5)$ is trivial. Hence, the class z is the classical obstruction, $z \in H^4(\mathrm{BPin}_5; \pi_3(V_{4,5}))$. Furthermore, the modulo two reduction homomorphism is associated to the obvious homomorphism

$$\pi_3(V_{4,5}) \longrightarrow \pi_3(V_{2,5}).$$

Hence, it takes the class z to the obstruction to the existence of a 2-frame of $r^*\gamma$ over the 4-skeleton of BPin_5 , i.e., to the class $w_4(r^*\gamma)$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Ando: *On the higher Thom polynomials of Morin singularities*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **23** (1987), 195–207.
- [2] Y. Ando: *Existence theorems of fold-maps*, Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) **30** (2004), 29–73.
- [3] V.I. Arnol'd, V.A. Vasil'ev, V.V. Goryunov and O.V. Lyashko: *Dynamical Systems VI: Singularities: Local and Global Theory*, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 6, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [4] M.F. Atiyah and J.L. Dupont: *Vector fields with finite singularities*, Acta Math. **128** (1972), 1–40.
- [5] E.H. Brown, *The cohomology of BSO_n and BO_n with integer coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1982), 283–288.
- [6] M. Čadež: *The cohomology of $\mathrm{BO}(n)$ with twisted integer coefficients*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **39** (1999), 277–286.
- [7] A. Dold and H. Whitney: *Classification of oriented sphere bundles over a 4-complex*, Ann. of Math. (2) **69** (1959), 667–677.
- [8] S. Eilenberg and S. MacLane: *On the groups $H(\Pi, n)$. III*, Ann. of Math. (2) **60** (1954), 513–557.
- [9] J.M. Èliašberg: *On singularities of folding type*, Math. USSR Izv. **4** (1970), 1119–1134.
- [10] J.M. Èliašberg: *Surgery of singularities of smooth mappings*, Math. USSR Izv. **6** (1972), 1302–1326.
- [11] Y. Eliashberg¹⁾ and N.M. Mishachev: *Wrinkling of smooth mappings and its applications. I*, Invent. Math. **130** (1997), 345–369.
- [12] Y. Eliashberg and N. Mishachev: *Introduction to the h -principle*, Graduate Studies in Math., vol. 48, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [13] M. Feshbach, *The integral cohomology rings of the classifying spaces of O_n and SO_n* , Indiana Univ. Math. J. **32** (1983), 511–516.

¹⁾J.M. Èliašberg = Y. Eliashberg

- [14] L.M. Fehér and R. Rimányi: *Thom polynomials with integer coefficients*, Illinois J. Math. **46** (2002), 1145–1158.
- [15] T. Fukuda: *Topology of folds, cusps and Morin singularities*, in “A Fête of Topology”, edited by Y. Matsumoto, T. Mizutani and S. Morita, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988, pp. 331–353.
- [16] M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin: *Stable Mappings and their Singularities*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 14, Springer, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1973.
- [17] M. Gromov: *Partial Differential Relations*, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [18] A. Hatcher: *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [19] A. Hatcher: *Spectral Sequences in Algebraic Topology*, <http://www.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/SSAT/SSATpage.html>.
- [20] I.M. James: *The Topology of Stiefel Manifolds*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series, vol. 24, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, 1976.
- [21] B. Kalmár: *Cobordism invariants of fold maps*, Real and complex singularities, pp. 103–115, Contemp. Math., 459, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [22] R.C. Kirby and L.R. Taylor: *A calculation of Pin^+ bordism groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **65** (1990), 434–447.
- [23] J. Korbaš and P. Zvengrowski: *The vector field problem: a survey with emphasis on specific manifolds*, Exposition. Math. **12** (1994), 3–20.
- [24] U. Koschorke: *Vector Fields and Other Vector Bundle Isomorphisms—A Singularity Approach*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 847, Springer, Berlin, 1981.
- [25] H.I. Levine: *Elimination of cusps*, Topology **3** (suppl. 2) (1965), 263–296.
- [26] J.N. Mather: *Stability of C^∞ mappings. VI: The nice dimensions*, Proceedings of Liverpool Singularities–Symposium, I (1969/70), pp. 207–253, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 192, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [27] J.N. Mather: *Homotopy groups and singularities*, Manifolds–Amsterdam 1970 (Proc. Nuffic Summer School), p. 230, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 197, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [28] J.W. Milnor and J.D. Stasheff: *Characteristic Classes*, Ann. of Math. Studies, vol. 76, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J.; Univ. of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974.
- [29] T. Ohmoto, O. Saeki and K. Sakuma: *Self-intersection class for singularities and its application to fold maps*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), 3825–3838.
- [30] B.J. Pollina: *Tangent 2-fields on even-dimensional nonorientable manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **271** (1982), 215–224.
- [31] D. Randall: *On indices of tangent fields with finite singularities*, Differential topology (Siegen, 1987), pp. 213–240, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1350, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [32] R. Rimányi: *Thom polynomials, symmetries and incidences of singularities*, Invent. Math. **143** (2001), 499–521.
- [33] F. Ronga: *Le calcul de la classe de cohomologie entière duale à $\overline{\Sigma}^k$* , Proceedings of Liverpool Singularities–Symposium, I (1969/70), pp. 313–315, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 192, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [34] R. Sadykov: *Elimination of singularities of smooth mappings of 4-manifolds into 3-manifolds*, Topology Appl. **144** (2004), 173–199.
- [35] R. Sadykov: *A note on Morin mappings*, preprint.
- [36] O. Saeki: *Notes on the topology of folds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **44** (1992), 551–566.
- [37] O. Saeki: *Fold maps on 4-manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), 627–647.
- [38] O. Saeki and K. Sakuma: *Maps with only Morin singularities and the Hopf invariant one problem*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **124** (1998), 501–511.
- [39] O. Saeki and K. Sakuma: *On special generic maps into $\mathbb{R}^3$* , Pacific J. Math. **184** (1998), 175–193.
- [40] O. Saeki and K. Sakuma: *Stable maps between 4-manifolds and elimination of their singularities*, J. London Math. Soc. **59** (1999), 1117–1133.
- [41] O. Saeki and K. Sakuma: *Special generic maps of 4-manifolds and compact complex analytic surfaces*, Math. Ann. **313** (1999), 617–633.
- [42] K. Sakuma: *Existence problem for fold maps*, in “Real and Complex Singularities”, Proceedings of the Australian–Japanese Workshop, World Scientific, 2007, pp. 342–387.
- [43] R.E. Stong: *Notes on Cobordism Theory*, Mathematical Notes, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1968.
- [44] A. Szűcs: *Elimination of singularities by cobordism*, Real and complex singularities, pp. 301–324, Contemp. Math., vol. 354, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [45] R. Thom: *Les singularités des applications différentiables*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **6** (1955–56), 43–87.
- [46] E. Thomas: *On the cohomology groups of the classifying space for the stable spinor group*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana **7** (1962), 57–69.

- [47] E. Thomas, Seminar on Fiber Spaces, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 13, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1966.
- [48] G.W. Whitehead: Elements of Homotopy Theory, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 61, Springer, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ONTARIO, M5S 2E4,
CANADA

E-mail address: `rstdk@gmail.com`

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, MOTOOKA 744, NISHI-KU, FUKUOKA 819-
0395, JAPAN

E-mail address: `saeki@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KINKI UNIVERSITY, OSAKA 577-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: `sakuma@math.kindai.ac.jp`